

Singles Performance Measured

Facts and knowledge

World Championships Singles

Australian (JK) & English (JS) (right side details)

OBSERVATIONS & GAME ANALYSIS of the final of a past World Championships

Score 2-10, 8-6, 1-2

Ends played	20	
Won	8	12
Lost	12	8
Total number of deliveries = 80		
Forehand	51	45
Backhand	29	35
Forehand effective	18	23
Backhand effective	10	11
Effective / acceptable DEFINITION = Mat length of target / successful shot execution, OK deliveries		

Effective/ acceptable Mat Length (ML)

	= 28/80 or 35%	= 34/80 or 42%)
First bowl	5/20 = OK	7/20
F/H	1/10 OK	3/12
B/H	4/10 OK	4/8
Short bowls	= 0/20	2/20
Second bowl	8/20 = OK	11/20
F/H	4/12 OK	5/12
B/H	4/8 OK	6/8
Short bowls	= 3/20	5/20
Third bowl	8/ 20 = OK	8/20
F/H	6/13 OK	5/10
B/H	2/7 OK	3/10
Short bowls	= 2/20	1/20
Fourth & last bowl	7/ 20 = OK	8/20
F/H	7/16 OK	6/14
B/H	0/4 OK	2/6

*Website menu: Facts, measures & knowledge
Performances in singles, measured.*

Short bowls = 0/20 2/20

Short bowls total 5 10

Number of ends (20 played) with FIRST bowl within mat length.

JK = 5 JS = 7

Number of ends (20 played) with only ONE bowl within mat length / or
Effective deliveries

JK = 12 JS = 9

Number of ends (20 played) with 2 bowls within mat length/ or Effective
deliveries

JK = 7 JS = 10

Number of ends (20 played) with NO bowls within mat length/ or Effective
deliveries

JK = 1 JS = 1

Lengths played 20

Minimum Played 1;

Won 0; shots 0 won 1; shots 1

Medium Played 13;

Won 5; shots 6 won 8; shots 11

Maximum Played 6;

Won 3; shots 5 won 3; shots 6

Bocce opportunities = 1/4 1/4

Caterpillar success = 1 1

ISSUES from WORLD SINGLES sets play final

- Why does a player change hands in certain circumstances
- As coach would seek to have player 'replay' certain ends to explain the decision making.

Player changing hands after 2 superb (note) deliveries

Where 2 deliveries are great is there any distinct decline in

performance of bowl THREE if the player plays same hand again compared
to changing hands.

- Anecdotal observation from Aussie Open showed 43% of players lost their second set after a big win in the first set - happened here too and I have posed it as a discussion for sports psych people.
- Mat length (ML) strategy non evident in these games.
- Two bowls in head strategy missing also.
- Last end has to be talked about when the winning delivery is close to a 2m draw shot range.

*Website menu: Facts, measures & knowledge
Performances in singles, measured.*

Measuring player performances singles matches

Score 25/13

Ends played 25, and won 12, lost 12, 1 dead end

Effective/ acceptable ML 49/100 OK deliveries

First bowl 10/ 25 = OK

F/H 6/25 OK

B/H 4/10 OK

Short bowls 3 BH, 4 FH = 7/25

Second bowl 18/ 25 = OK

F/H 6/25 OK

B/H 4/10 OK

Short bowls 3 BH, 4 FH = 3/25

Third bowl 14/ 25 = OK

F/H 7/11 OK

B/H 7/14 OK

Short bowls 5 BH, 2 FH = 7/25

Fourth & last bowl 7/ 25 = OK

F/H 4/11 OK

B/H 3/14 OK

Short bowls 4 BH, 1 FH = 5/25

Lengths played

Minimum

Played 10; Won 4; shots 10

Medium

Played 11; Won 6; shots 13

Maximum

Played 4; Won 2; shots 2

Singles game 28 ends player loses 20/25

OBJECTIVE

- First bowl to be within ML each end – outcome 15/28
- One effective bowl each end – outcome 27/28
- A minimum of 8/20 (40%) ML effective bowls each segment 5 ends – one segment, ends 21-25, fell to 35%
- Keep losses per end to 2 shots – 27/28 OK, one end dropped 4 shots

*Website menu: Facts, measures & knowledge
Performances in singles, measured.*

**Knowledge – debrief that Example singles game
praise**

- Effective delivery % very good, 50%
- Playing length at minimum successful
- TCUP 26/28 ends
- Contributions consistent 27/28 ends

Deficiencies on the day

- HICCUP, end 19 changed hand stopped seeing to believe drops 4
- Jack throw long ends mid game not fully effective, helped opposition
- Little use of deliveries 2-3 mid game to alter pattern of play
- FH on long ends not as effective later in game

Game analysis - 20-25 over 28 ends where 112 deliveries bowled.

Ends	Score 5 ends	score	First bowl	Ends won	ML	%
1-5	6-3	6-3	4	3	10	50
6-10	4-4	10-7	3	3	13	65
11-15	5-5	15-12	4	3	11	55
16-20	1-7	16-19	3	1	10	50
21-25	3-4	19-23	-	1	7	35
25-28	1-2	20-25	1	1	5/12	45
Total	20-25	20-25	15/28	12/28	56	50

Lachlan Tighe, 2018